

Note di Matematica **20**, n. 2, 2000/2001, 27–33.

Space curves not contained in low degree surfaces in positive characteristic

E. Ballico*

*Dept. of Mathematics, University of Trento,
38050 Povo (TN) Italy, fax: +390461881624
ballico@science.unitn.it*

Received: 2 May 2000; accepted: 3 October 2000.

Abstract. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be an integral projective curve not contained in a quadric surface. Set $d := \deg(C)$, $g := p_a(C)$,

$$\pi_1(d, 3) := \begin{cases} d^2/6 - d/2 + 1 & \text{if } d/3 \in \mathbf{Z} \\ d^2/6 - d/2 + 1/3 & \text{if } d/3 \notin \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$$

Here we prove in arbitrary characteristic that $g \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$ if $d \geq 25$.

Keywords: integral projective curve; singular space curve; arithmetic genus; quadric surface; plane section; hyperplane section; Hilbert function.

MSC 2000 classification: 14H50

Introduction

In characteristic zero using heavily the Uniform Position Principle D. Eisenbud and J. Harris proved the following result ([4, Th. 3.13]) (classically due to Halphen).

Theorem 1. *Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be an integral projective curve not contained in a quadric surface. Set $d := \deg(C)$, $g := p_a(C)$,*

$$\pi_1(d, 3) := \begin{cases} d^2/6 - d/2 + 1 & \text{if } d/3 \in \mathbf{Z} \\ d^2/6 - d/2 + 1/3 & \text{if } d/3 \notin \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$$

Assume $d \geq 25$. Then $g \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$.

The main aim of this paper is to prove Theorem 1 in arbitrary characteristic. If we may apply the Uniform Position Principle to the generic hyperplane section of C , then the proof of [4, Th. 3.13], works verbatim. By [5, Cor. 1.8], if the monodromy group of the generic hyperplane section, G , of C contains the alternating group A_d , then the Uniform Position Principle holds for the general plane section of C .

*This research was partially supported by MURST (Italy).

Unfortunately, we are interested in a case which (if it exists) has as Galois group of the generic hyperplane section the third class of groups in the statement of [5, Th. 3.4].

In the last part of section two we will prove (in arbitrary characteristic) the following result proved by J.Harris in characteristic zero ([3]).

Proposition 1. *Fix integers d, k with $k \geq 2$ and $d > k(k-1)$.*

Set $n := [(d-1)/k] + 1$, $\varepsilon := nk - d$ and $\pi(d, k) := d^2/2k + (k-4)d/2 + 1 - \varepsilon(k - \varepsilon - 1 + (\varepsilon/k))$. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ an integral curve with $\deg(C) = d$ and C contained in an integral surface of degree k . Then $p_a(C) \leq \pi(d, k)$.

Notice that the integer ε in the statement of Prop. 1 is the unique integer with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq k-1$ and $\varepsilon \equiv -d \pmod{k}$.

1 The proofs

Now we will prove Theorem 1 and Proposition 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be a general plane. Set $Z := C \cap H$. Let G be the monodromy group of the generic plane section of C . By [5, Cor. 1.6], and its proof, G is 2-transitive and it is 3-transitive if and only if the general secant line to C intersects C only at two points. By Castelnuovo method ([4, Ch. III]) to find a “good” upper bound for g it is sufficient to find a “good” upper bound for all integers $h(Z, t) := h^0(H, \mathbf{O}_Z(t)) - h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_Z(t))$. We distinguish 3 cases and several subcases.

Case (a) . Here we assume that for a general secant line D of C we have $z := \text{card}(D \cap C) \geq 3$. By [1] Z is in linear semi-general position, *i. e.* for any two points P, Q of Z the line $\langle P, Q \rangle$ contains exactly z points of Z . Fix any $P \in Z$ and any line $D \subset H$ with D spanned by $Z \cap D$ and $P \notin D$. Thus $\text{card}(Z \cap D) = z$. Let $\pi : \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus \{P\} \rightarrow D$ be the linear projection. Set $y := \text{card}(\pi(Z \setminus \{P\}))$. For every line D' with $P \notin D'$ and D' spanned by $D' \cap Z$, $\pi|_{D'}$ induces a bijection of $D' \cap Z$ with $\pi(D' \cap Z)$. Thus $y \geq z$. For every $Q \in \pi(Z \setminus \{P\})$ the line $\langle P, Q \rangle$ contains exactly z points of Z and any two such lines intersect only at $\{P\}$. Thus $d-1 = (z-1)y$.

We claim that for every integer $t \geq z+y-1$ we have $h^1(H, \mathbf{I}_Z(t)) = 0$. Set $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_y\} := \pi(Z \setminus \{P\})$, $D_j := \langle P, Q_j \rangle$ and $S_j := Z \cap D_j$. Since $D_j \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ and $\text{card}(S_j) = z$, for every integer $u \geq z-1$ we have $h^1(D_j, \mathbf{O}_{D_j}(u)(-S_j)) = 0$. Thus if $t \geq z+y-1$ we obtain $h^1(H, \mathbf{I}_Z(t)) \leq h^1(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus S_y}(t-1)) \leq h^1(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus (S_y \cup S_{y-1})}(t-2)) \leq \dots \leq h^1(H, \mathbf{I}_{D_1 \setminus \{P\}}(t-y+1)) = 0$, proving the claim.

By the definition of z every homogeneous form on H with degree $z-1$ vanishing at Z vanishes on each D_j . There are y distinct lines D_j , $1 \leq j \leq y$, and $y \geq z$.

Thus we have $h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_Z(z-1)) = 0$. Now we will check that if $z \leq t \leq z+y-2$, then $h(Z, t) \geq z + (t-z)(z-1)$. To check this inequality we may use induction on t because the case $t = z$ follows from $h^1(D_1, \mathbf{O}_{D_1}(z)(-S_1)) = 0$. Set $\Sigma := S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{t-z}$ and $\Delta := \Sigma \cup S_{t-z+1}$. Since $h^1(D_{t-z+1}, \mathbf{O}_{D_{t-z+1}}(t)(-S_{t-z+1})) = 0$, we obtain $h(Z, t) \geq h(\Delta, t) \geq h(\Sigma \setminus \{P\}, t-1) + z \geq h(\Sigma, t-1) + z - 1$ and hence we conclude by induction on t . By using all the inequalities for the integers $h(Z, t)$ obtained up to now we obtain $g \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$ at least if $z \geq 4$.

Now assume $z = 3$. To win it would be sufficient to obtain $h(Z, t) \geq \min\{d, 3t\}$. We have $h(Z, 1) = 3$. Fix an integer $t \geq 2$ and assume $h(Z, t-1) \geq \min\{d, 3t-3\}$. Take $S \subset Z$ with $\text{card}(S) = h(Z, t-1)$ and set $W := Z \setminus S$. Assume the existence of a line D with $\text{card}(D \cap W) \geq 3$. Since $z = 3$ this implies $\text{card}(D \cap W) = 3$ and $D \cap S = \emptyset$. Since $h^1(D, \mathbf{O}_D(t)(-(D \cap W))) = 0$, we obtain $h(Z, t) \geq h(S \cup (D \cap W), t) \geq h(S, t-1) + 3$, as wanted.

Now assume that there is no such line. First assume the existence of a conic A with $w := \text{card}(A \cap W) \geq 6$. Since W has no trisecant line, A is smooth. We would like to imitate the proofs of *Case(b)* below, but there are the following differences. First of all, G does not act as the permutation group on W . Furthermore, if $w = \text{card}(W)$ and C is linearly normal we cannot use the exact sequence (1) below to conclude. We have $h^1(A, \mathbf{O}_A(t)(-(A \cap W))) = \max\{0, 2t+1-w\}$. If $w \geq 7$ we just take $W' \subseteq W$ with $\text{card}(W') = 7$ and any two points of it to obtain $h(S \cup W', t) \geq h(S, t-1) + 2$ and $h(S \cup W', t+1) \geq h(S, t-1) + 7$ and then continue with $S \cup W'$ instead of S ; these inequalities are strong enough to obtain that the contribution of $h(Z, t)$ and $h(Z, t+1)$ to the upper bound for g obtained using Castelnuovo method because $2 + 7 = 3 + 6$.

Now assume $w = 6$. If there is an another conic, A' , with $\text{card}(A' \cap W) \geq 7$, we use A' . Hence from now on we may assume that for every conic A we have $\text{card}(A \cap W) \leq 6$. We apply the proof of *Case(c)* without making any mention of the Galois group G ; in subcases (c2) and (c3) we will never mention G ; in subcase (c1) just note that if we have the union, S , of different points on an irreducible plane cubic $A(S)$ with $\text{Sing}(A(S)) \neq \emptyset$, either $S \subset A(S)_{\text{reg}}$ or S is not the complete intersection of $A(S)$ with another cubic, because any such complete intersection either does not contain the singular point of $A(S)$ or it has at least multiplicity two at the singular point of $A(S)$.

Case (b) . Here we assume that for a general secant line D of C we have $\text{card}(D \cap C) = 2$ (i. e. that no 3 points of Z are collinear) but that there is a conic $A \subset H$ with $\text{card}(A \cap Z) \geq 6$.

(b1) . First assume $Z \subset A$. If C is linearly normal, then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_C(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_C(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{Z,H}(2) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

gives $h^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathbf{I}_C(2)) \neq 0$, contradicting our assumptions. If C is not linearly nor-

mal, then C is an isomorphic linear projection of an irreducible non-degenerate curve $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ with $\deg(Y) = d$. Write $d = 3m + \alpha$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. We claim that $p_a(Y) \leq 3m(m-1)/2 + m\alpha$.

To check the claim we distinguish two cases: the generic hyperplane section of Y is in linearly general position or not. In the first case the claim follows from the classical Castelnuovo method. In the second case we are in the case studied in [2] and in particular if $d \geq 25$ we have $d = 2^k$ for some integer k and Y is strange; hence C is strange; but we will not use these informations on d and C .

To check the claim in this case we use the method of part (a). Take a general hyperplane M of \mathbf{P}^4 and set $W := Y \cap M$. We need to study the function $h(W, t)$. As in part (a) to check the claim it is sufficient to check that $h(W, t) \geq h(W, t-1) + 3$ if $h^0(M, \mathbf{I}_W(t-1)) \geq 3$ and that $h(W, t) = d$ if $h^0(M, \mathbf{I}_W(t-1)) \leq 2$. Let m be the number of points of W contained in a plane of M spanned by points of W ; this number does not depend on the choice of the plane (linear semi-uniform position introduced in [1]). By assumption we have $m \geq 4$.

First assume $m \geq 5$. Take a general $P \in Y_{reg}$. By the generality of P we may take M with $P \in M$. Let $C' \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be the image of Y under the projection of Y from P . Since a general secant line to Y is not a trisecant line and P is general, C' is birational to Y , $\deg(C') = d-1$ and there is a birational morphism $Y \rightarrow C'$. Thus $p_a(Y) \leq p_a(C')$ and it is sufficient to check that $p_a(C') \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$. Notice that C' fits in the case considered in part (a) of the proof with $m-1$ as integer z : the image of a plane through P and 2 other general points of Y is a general secant line to C' .

Now assume $m = 4$. Any three points of W span a plane of M and any two planes A, A' of M containing at least 3 points of W have $\text{card}(A \cap A') \leq 2$. Notice that any 4 non-collinear points of a plane impose independent conditions to curves of degree at least 2. Thus we obtain $h(W, t) \geq \min\{d, h(W, t-1) + t\} \geq \min\{d, 3t\}$ (induction on t for the last inequality) with strict inequality for $t = 2$ and 3. Thus in this subcase we obtain $p_a(Y) < 3m(m-1)/2 + m\alpha$. Since $Y \cong C$, the claim concludes the case $Z \subset A$.

(b2) . Now assume $w := \text{card}(A \cap Z) < d$. Since we are not in *Case(a)*, any 3 points of Z span $H([1])$. Thus A is irreducible.

To obtain $g \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$ it would be sufficient to show that $h(Z, t) \geq \min\{d, 3t\}$ for every integer t . We have $h(Z, 1) = 3$ and $h(Z, 2) = 6$. We fix an integer $t \geq 3$ and $S \subseteq A \cap Z$ with $\text{card}(S) = 6$. Since $h^1(A, \mathbf{O}_A(t)(-S)) = 0$, we have $h(Z, t) \geq h(Z \setminus S, t-2) + 6$. If there is a conic B with $\text{card}(B \cap (Z \setminus S)) \geq 6$, we use B in a similar way to show that $h(Z, t) \geq h(Z \setminus (S \cup S'), t-4) + 12$ with $S' \subseteq B \cap (Z \setminus S)$ and $\text{card}(S') = 6$. And so on until we obtain a subset S'' of Z with $e := \text{card}(S'')/6 \in \mathbf{N}$, S'' contained in e conics, $h(Z, t) \geq h(Z \setminus S'', t-2e) + 6e$,

but every conic contains at most 5 points of $Z \setminus S''$. If $\text{card}(Z \setminus S'') \geq 9$ we use the same construction using irreducible cubics instead of irreducible conics (see subcase (c3) below).

Now we assume $\text{card}(Z \setminus S'') \leq 8$. First assume $w \geq 7$. Taking instead of S a subset S' with $\text{card}(S') = 7$ we obtain $h(Z, t) \geq h(Z \setminus S', t - 2) + 7$. Then using $e - 1$ conics if $e \geq 2$ we obtain $h(Z, 2e) \geq 6e + 2$. This is sufficient to conclude because in this case it is sufficient to get $h(Z, 2e + 1) \geq \min\{d, 6e + 4\}$ and $h(Z, 2e + 2) \geq \min\{d, 6e + 6\}$; the first inequality is obtained using a line and the second one using two conics.

Now assume $w = 6$. Here we pass directly to *Case(c)*. It is just to handle this subcase with $w = 6$ that we allow in *Case(c)* the existence of a conic containing 6 points of Z .

Case (c) . Here we assume that no 3 points of Z are collinear and that there is no conic containing at least 7 points of Z .

(c1) . Here we assume that no plane cubic contains at least 10 points of Z . We have $h(Z, t) = 0$ for $t \leq \min\{3, (d - 1)/3\}$.

Fix an integer $t \geq 3$ and any subset of Z with $\text{card}(S) = 9$. There is a unique cubic curve, $A(S)$, containing S . By assumption (c1) we have $A(S) \cap Z = S$. Since the monodromy group G of the generic hyperplane section is transitive and S is unique, we have $\text{Sing}(A(S)) \cap S = \emptyset$. Hence $h^0(A(S), \mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) = \deg(\mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) = 3t - 9$ if either $\deg(\mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) > 0$ (i.e. $t \geq 4$) or $\deg(\mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) = 0$ (i.e. $t = 3$) and $\mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)$ not trivial and $h^0(A(S), \mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) = 1$ if $\mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)$ is trivial. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_Z(t)) &\leq h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus S}(t - 3)) + h^0(A(S), \\ \mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) &= h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus S}(t - 3)) + 3t - 9 + \psi \end{aligned}$$

with $\psi = 0$ if $t \geq 4$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ if $t = 3$.

Then if $d \geq 18$ and $t \geq 6$ we continue taking any subset S' of $Z \setminus S$ with $\text{card}(S') = 9$ and the unique plane cubic $A(S')$ with $S' \subset A(S')$. By the assumption (c1) we have $A(S') \cap Z = S'$. Fix a general $\Sigma \subset A(S')$ with $\text{card}(\Sigma) = h^0(A(S'), \mathbf{O}_{A(S')}(t - 3)(-S'))$. Every curve $F \subset H$ with $\deg(F) = t - 3$ and $S' \cup \Sigma \subset F$ contains $A(S')$. Hence we obtain $h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus S}(t - 3)) \leq h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus (S \cup S')}(t - 6)) + h^0(A(S'), \mathbf{O}_{A(S')}(t - 3)(-S'))$. We have $h^0(A(S'), \mathbf{O}_{A(S')}(t - 3)(-S')) = 3(t - 3) - 9$ unless $t = 6$ and S' is the complete intersection of S' with a cubic curve.

In the latter case we have $h^0(S', \mathbf{O}_{S'}(t - 3)(-S')) = 3(t - 3) - 0$. And so on: we continue as in the classical Castelnuovo method using plane cubics through 9 points of Z instead of lines through 2 points of Z . If $t \equiv 1(\text{resp.} 2) \pmod{3}$, then in the last step instead of a plane cubic we use a line (resp. a smooth conic). In this way we obtain $g \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$.

(c2) . Here we assume that Z is contained in a plane cubic, T . Since Z does not contains 7 points on a conic or 3 collinear points, T is irreducible. Hence we may apply verbatim the proof of [4, p. 96], and obtain $g \leq \pi_1(d, 3)$.

(c3) . Here we assume that Z is not contained in a plane cubic.

Since $h^0(H, \mathbf{O}_H(3)) = 10$ this implies $d \geq 10$. By our assumption there are 10 points of Z not contained in any cubic. Thus there is $S \subset Z$ with $\text{card}(S) = 9$ and a unique cubic $A(S)$ containing S . Again, we obtain $h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_Z(t)) \geq h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus S}(t-3)) + h^0(A(S), \mathbf{O}_{A(S)}(t)(-S)) = h^0(H, \mathbf{I}_{Z \setminus S}(t-3)) + 3t - 9$. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. The proof of this result given in [3, §1], in the case of characteristic zero, works verbatim except the proof of a lemma of Gieseker (see [3, p.194]). To extend to positive characteristic the proof given there just use that \mathbf{P}^1 is irreducible and hence that by [5, Cor. 1.6], for any base point free linear system on \mathbf{P}^1 the monodromy of a generic hyperplane section is transitive ([5, Cor. 1.6]). Alternatively, a far stronger form of this lemma is proved in arbitrary characteristic in [6], Th. 1 and Th. 2. \square

We do not know if Theorem 1 holds for low d . We did not checked it case by case because the original question posed to us by G. Korchmaros was for $d = 2^f + 1$ with $f \geq 3$ in characteristic two and the missing case $d = 17$ fits in *case(a)* (subcase $z = 3$) and *case(c)* which are the worst cases for our approach. The bound on g given by Theorem 1 is sharp in arbitrary characteristic for curves contained in cubic surfaces. We do not know how much it may be improved assuming C not contained in a cubic surface but without assuming (as in Proposition 1) that C is contained in a low degree surface.

In several subcases the proof of Theorem 1 gives far better bounds for g . The difficult cases (for our method) are *case(a)* (subcase $z = 3$) and *case(c)* (or *case(b)* with $w = 6$) and in these cases we do not know how to improve our bound.

Acknowledgements. I want to thank Gabor Korchmaros for stimulating questions.

References

- [1] E. BALlico: *On singular curves in the case of positive characteristic*, Math. Nachr. 141 (1989), 267–273.
- [2] E. BALlico: *On the general hyperplane section of a curve in char p* , Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste 22 (1990), 117–125.
- [3] J. HARRIS: *The genus of space curves*, Math. Ann. 249 (1980), 191–204.

- [4] J. HARRIS (with the collaboration of D. EISENBUD): *Curves in projective spaces*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1982.
- [5] J. RATHMANN: *The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p* , Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 565–579.
- [6] R. RE: *Multiplication of sections and Clifford bounds for stable curves*, Comm. in Algebra 26 (1998), 1931–1944.